

# Theorem 11: Profinite HNN-constructions

W. Herfort      P.A. Zalesskii

August 19, 2010

## Abstract

A more detailed proof of Theorem 11 [3] is given. Also it is emphasized that  $\text{HNN}^{abs}(H, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, T)$  is residually  $\mathcal{C}$  when  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under taking products, subgroups and extensions, provided  $\text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, T)$  is proper.

## 1 Introduction

Let us recall the basic situation from [3]. We consider a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  analogue of the concept of an HNN-extension, (cf. [4], p. 180), by generalizing the concept of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-extension as described in 9.4 of [5]. Following R. Bieri [1] we shall term it a *pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group*.

**Definition 1** Let  $H$  be a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group and  $\partial_0, \partial_1 : (\mathcal{G}, T) \rightarrow H$  fiber monomorphisms. A specialization into  $K$  consists of a homomorphism  $\beta : H \rightarrow K$  and a continuous map  $\beta_1 : T \rightarrow K$  such that for all  $t \in T$  and  $g \in \mathcal{G}(t)$  the equality  $\beta(\partial_0(g)) = \beta_1(t)^{-1}\beta(\partial_1(g))\beta_1(t)$  is valid. We denote this situation by writing  $(\beta, \beta_1) : (H, \mathcal{G}, T) \rightarrow K$ .

The pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group is then a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $G$  together with a specialization  $(v, v_1) : (H, \mathcal{G}, T) \rightarrow G$ , with the following universal property: for every pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $K$  and every specialization  $(\beta, \beta_1) : (H, \mathcal{G}, T) \rightarrow K$ , there exists a unique homomorphism

$$\omega : G \rightarrow K,$$

such that  $\omega v_1 = \beta_1$  and  $\beta = \omega v$ . We shall denote  $G$  by  $\text{HNN}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$  or simply by  $\text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$  when there is no danger of confusion.

Let us compare our definition with [4], p.180 for injective  $\beta_1$ : First,  $H$  is the *base group*. Setting  $A_t := \partial_0(\mathcal{G}(t))$  and  $B_t := \partial_1(\mathcal{G}(t))$ , a family  $f := \{f_t : t \in T\}$  of isomorphisms is induced setting  $f_t(a_t) := \partial_1(g_t)$  for the unique  $g_t \in \mathcal{G}(t)$  with  $a_t = \partial_0(g_t)$ . Thus, the family  $f$  satisfies  $f_t(a_t) = a_t^t$  for all  $a_t \in A_t$  and  $t \in T$ , and  $T$  plays the role of a space of *stable letters*. In fact, below we shall make use of the abstract HNN-group, and denote it by  $\text{HNN}^{abs}(H, \mathcal{A}, f, T)$ . For  $T$  a singleton set, identifying  $\mathcal{G}(t)$  with its image under  $\partial_0$  and setting  $f := \partial_1$ , the definition of a pro- $\mathcal{C}$ -HNN extension given in 9.4 in [5] is recovered.

**Proposition 2** *Let  $H$  be a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group,  $(\mathcal{G}, T)$  a sheaf of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  groups and  $\partial_0, \partial_1 : (\mathcal{G}, T) \rightarrow H$  fiber monomorphisms. Then there exists a unique pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group  $G = \text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$ .*

**Proof:** This is Proposition 9 [3]. □

A pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group is a special case of the fundamental pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$  of a profinite graph of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  groups  $(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$  as introduced in [8]. Namely, a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group can be thought as  $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a bouquet (i.e., a connected profinite graph having just one vertex – an isolated point of  $\Gamma$  – that serves as a maximal subtree). Note that *acyclicity* and *simply connectivity* do not coincide in the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  situation, though they do when  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of soluble groups only. The pro- $\mathcal{C}$  analogue of a maximal subtree is a maximal  $\mathcal{C}$ -simply connected subgraph. In general a maximal  $\mathcal{C}$ -simply connected subgraph in a connected profinite graph might not exist. When it exists the definition of the fundamental pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$  of a graph of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  groups can be given along the lines of the abstract situation as has been done in [7], Section 3, for finite  $\Gamma$ .

Let us recall Lemma 10 [3].

**Lemma 3** *Let  $G = \text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$  be a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group and  $U$  an open subgroup of  $G$  such that  $U \cap v(\mathcal{G}(t)) = 1$  for all  $t \in T$ . Then  $U$  is a free pro- $\mathcal{C}$  product of conjugates  $U \cap v(H)^g$ , for certain  $g \in G$  and a free pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group. In particular, if  $U \cap v(H)$  is free, then so is  $U$ .*

## 2 Embedding

We shall need the following criterion for *embedding* a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $H$  as a base group into a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group  $\text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$ , whose proof is based on Zoé Chatzidakis' ideas [2]. Let  $\partial_0, \partial_1 : (\mathcal{G}, T) \rightarrow H$  be fiber monomorphisms, where the restriction of  $\partial_0$  to  $A_t$  is the identity. Recall the family  $f$  of isomorphisms  $f_t : A_t \rightarrow B_t$  as described in connection with Definition 1 and let us write  $\varphi$  for  $v$ . If  $V$  is an open normal subgroup of  $H$  with  $f_t(A_t \cap V) = B_t \cap V$  we write  $G_V^{abs} := \text{HNN}^{abs}(H/V, \mathcal{A}_V, f_V, T)$  for the abstract HNN-group, where  $A_{tV} = A_t V/V$ ,  $B_{tV} = B_t V/V$  are associated subgroups with isomorphisms  $f_{tV} : A_{tV} \rightarrow B_{tV}$  induced by  $f_t$  (and we use this notation omitting  $V$  if  $V$  is trivial). We also shall use the natural injection  $v_{1V}^{abs} : T \rightarrow \text{HNN}^{abs}(H/V, \mathcal{A}_V, T)$  arising from the abstract situation and let  $\varphi^{abs} : H \rightarrow \text{HNN}^{abs}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$  denote the canonical embedding.

**Notation 4** Given a sheaf morphism  $f : (\mathcal{A}, T) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, T)$  of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  groups all contained in a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  base group  $H$ , we let  $\mathcal{N}(f)$  denote the filter of all normal subgroups  $N$  of  $G^{abs}$  with

- (i)  $G^{abs}/N \in \mathcal{C}$  and
- (ii)  $(v_1^{abs})^{-1}(gN) \cap T$  is clopen in  $T$  for all  $t \in T$  and all  $g \in G^{abs}$ .

The universal property of  $G^{abs} = \text{HNN}^{abs}(G, \mathcal{G}, T)$  gives rise to a group homomorphism  $\lambda : G^{abs} \rightarrow G := \text{HNN}(G, \mathcal{G}, T)$  with  $v_1 = \lambda v_1^{abs}$  and  $\varphi = \lambda \varphi^{abs}$ . We shall identify  $H$  and  $T$  with their respective images in  $G^{abs}$ .

**Lemma 5** *For finite  $H$  we have  $\ker(\lambda) = \bigcap \mathcal{N}(f)$  and  $\ker \varphi = \bigcap \mathcal{N}(f) \cap H$ .*

**Proof:**

Claim 1: Fix  $N \in \mathcal{N}(f)$  and let  $p^{abs} : G^{abs} \rightarrow Q := G^{abs}/N \in \mathcal{C}$  denote the canonical epimorphism. Equip  $Q$  with the discrete topology.

Then there is a continuous epimorphism  $p : G \rightarrow Q$  with  $p\lambda = p^{abs}$ .

Property (ii) of  $N$  implies that  $p^{abs} v_1^{abs} : T \rightarrow Q$  is continuous. Let us next show that  $p^{abs} \varphi^{abs} \partial_i : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow Q$  is continuous. Since  $\partial_i$  is continuous, it suffices to ensure that  $p^{abs} \varphi^{abs} : H \rightarrow Q$  is continuous. However, if  $(h_\nu)$  is a convergent net in the finite group  $H$ , one can pass to a cofinal constant subnet, i.e., we can assume  $h_\nu = h$  for some  $h \in H$ . Therefore  $\lim_\nu p^{abs} \varphi^{abs}(h) = p^{abs} \varphi^{abs}(h)$ , so that  $p^{abs} \varphi^{abs}$  is indeed continuous. By the universal property of  $G^{abs}$  the relations  $\partial_1(a_t)^{v_1^{abs}(t)} = \partial_0(a_t)$  hold for the respective homomorphic images of  $t$  and  $a_t$  in  $Q$  for all  $t \in T$  and  $a_t \in A_t$ . Therefore the universal property of  $G$  yields a continuous epimorphism  $p : G \rightarrow Q$  with  $p\lambda = p^{abs}$ .

Claim 2:  $\ker \lambda \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{N}(f)$

Suppose  $\lambda(g) = 1$  for some  $g \in G^{abs}$ . Using the notation of claim 1 for arbitrary  $N \in \mathcal{N}(f)$  we must have  $p\lambda(g) = p^{abs}(g) = 1$ . Therefore  $g \in N$  holds for all  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and so  $g \in \bigcap \mathcal{N}$ .

Claim 3:  $\ker \lambda \supseteq \bigcap \mathcal{N}$

Pick  $g \in \bigcap \mathcal{N}(f)$ . Consider an arbitrary continuous epimorphism  $p : G \rightarrow Q$  for some  $Q \in \mathcal{C}$ . The universal property of  $G^{abs}$  ensures the existence of  $p^{abs} : G^{abs} \rightarrow Q$  with  $p\lambda = p^{abs}$ . It is not hard to see that  $\ker p^{abs} \in \mathcal{N}(f)$ . Then the image of  $\lambda(g)$  in  $Q$  is trivial. Since  $Q \in \mathcal{C}$  is an arbitrary epimorphic image of  $G^{abs}$  we can conclude that  $\lambda(g) = 1$ , as claimed.

Hence we have  $\ker \lambda = \bigcap \mathcal{N}(f)$ . Finally let us observe that  $\ker \varphi = \ker \lambda \varphi^{abs} = (\lambda \varphi^{abs})^{-1}(1) = (\varphi^{abs})^{-1}(\ker \lambda) = \ker \lambda \cap H$ .  $\square$

We turn to characterizing  $\ker \lambda$  and  $\ker \varphi$  for an arbitrary pro- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $H$  and a sheaf of group  $(\mathcal{G}, T)$ . Let  $\pi_V : H \rightarrow H/V$  be the canonical epimorphism. For  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  consider the sheaf  $(\mathcal{G}_V, T)$  of subgroups of  $H_V := H/V$  defined as  $\mathcal{G}_V := \mathcal{G}/\partial_0^{-1}(V)$ . For  $V$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  universal properties ensure the existence of canonical group homomorphisms making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{abs}} & G^{abs} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & G \\ \downarrow \pi_V & & \downarrow \mu_V^{abs} & & \downarrow \mu_V \\ H_V & \xrightarrow{\varphi_V^{abs}} & G_V^{abs} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_V} & G_V \end{array}$$

Recall that the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group  $G = \text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$  is *proper*, provided that the natural map  $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$  is a monomorphism.

**Theorem 6** *The pro- $\mathcal{C}$  HNN-group  $G = \text{HNN}(H, \mathcal{G}, T)$  is proper if and only if  $H$  possesses a filter  $\mathcal{V}$  of open normal subgroups for which the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For all  $t$  in  $T$  and all  $V$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  we have  $f_t(A_t \cap V) = B_t \cap V$ ;  
moreover  $\bigcap \mathcal{V} = \{1\}$ ;*
- (ii) *Having Notation 4 in mind, for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  the intersection  $\bigcap \mathcal{N}(f_V)$  is trivial.*

**Proof:**

When  $H$  is finite we may choose  $\mathcal{V}$  to contain only the unit group. Then condition (i) is automatically satisfied and the result follows from Lemma 5.

“Properness  $\Rightarrow$  (i) & (ii)”

Suppose  $\varphi$  is an embedding. Fix  $1 \neq h \in H$ . There is  $M \triangleleft_o G$  with  $G/M \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\varphi(h) \notin M$ . Setting  $N := \lambda^{-1}(M)$  we find that  $V := H \cap N$  will satisfy the first part of property (i). Therefore  $G_V^{abs}$  is welldefined and universal properties yield a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H/V & \longrightarrow & G_V^{abs} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_V} & G_V \\
 & & & \searrow \epsilon & \downarrow \omega_V \\
 & & & & G/M
 \end{array}$$

which implies that  $\lambda_V \varphi_V^{abs}$  embeds  $H_V$  into  $G_V$ . Now Lemma 5 shows that  $\bigcap \mathcal{N}_V = \{1\}$ , and so also (ii) holds.

“(i) & (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  properness”

Assume by contradiction that there is  $1 \neq h \in H$  and  $\lambda(h) = 1$ . Property (i) yields  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $\pi_V(h) \neq 1$ . Now property (ii) and the commutativity of the diagram preceding the theorem imply that  $\mu_V \lambda(h) = \lambda_V \pi_V(h) \neq 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Finally let us remark that under the assumptions on  $\mathcal{C}$ , namely to be closed under products, extensions and subgroups, every abstract free group  $\Phi$  is residually  $\mathcal{C}$ . When  $\Phi$  has rank at least 2 then by Proposition 3.3.15 [5]. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is also subgroup and extension closed also  $\mathbf{Z}$  is residually  $\mathcal{C}$ . Now the argument in the last line of the proof of Theorem 12 on page 806 is valid.

We thank Luis Ribes for having pointed out to us inaccuracies in [3].

## References

- [1] R. Bieri, Homological dimension of discrete groups, Second Edition, Queen Mary College, University of London, London, 1981.
- [2] Z. M. Chatzidakis, Some remarks on profinite HNN extensions, *Isr. J. Math.* **85**, No.1-3, (1994) 11-18.
- [3] W. Herfort and P.A. Zalesskii, Profinite HNN-constructions, *J. Group Theory* **10**(6) (2007) 799–809
- [4] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Springer 1977.
- [5] L. Ribes and P.A. Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, Springer 2000.
- [6] J.S. Wilson and P.A. Zalesskii, An embedding theorem for certain residually finite groups *Arch. Math.*, **67** (1996) 177-182.
- [7] P.A. Zalesskii and O. V. Mel'nikov, Fundamental Groups of Graphs of Profinite Groups, *Algebra i Analiz* **1** (1989); translated in: *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 921-940.
- [8] P.A. Zalesskii and O. V. Mel'nikov, Subgroups of profinite groups acting on trees, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **63** (1989) 405-424.